



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/623,189		07/18/2003	Alexander M. DePaoli	54113.8005.US02	3581	
34055	7590	01/12/2006		EXAMINER		
PERKIN POST OF			O HARA, EILEEN B			
		98111-1208	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				1646		
			DATE MAN ED ALGADAGE			

DATE MAILED: 01/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

		Application I	No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/623,189		DEPAOLI ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Eileen O'Hara	a	1646					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the co	over sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING assions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. by period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior for to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS 1.136(a). In no event, I and will apply and will ex ute, cause the applicati	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be tim pire SIX (6) MONTHS from on to become ABANDONEL	L. ely filed the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on								
2a)□		——. nis action is non-	final.						
3)	-								
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	on of Claims								
4)🖾	Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application	on.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)[Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> are subject to restriction and/o	r election require	ement.						
Applicati	on Papers								
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.							
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the B	Examiner. Note	the attached Office	Action or form PT	ΓO-152.				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			-(d) or (f).					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
	as the attached detailed office delicition and	or the certified	Topics not received	u.					
Attachmen	:(s)								
1) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) [☐ Interview Summary ((PTO-413)					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	مر جرا	Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa) ₋ 152)				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 · No(s)/Mail Date	-,	Other:	ает Аррісацоп (РТС	F 192)				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-21, 23, 24 and 29-31, drawn to a method of treating a human patient with a condition of lipoatrophy with leptin, leptin analog or leptin derivative, classified in class 514, subclass 12, for example.
- II. Claim 22, drawn to gene therapy, classified in class 514, subclass 44.
- III. Claims 25-28, drawn to a method of determining a predisposition of a lipoatrophic patient to respond to treatment with leptin, leptin analog or leptin derivative, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1 for example.
- IV. Claim 32, drawn to an improved kit for determining a predisposition of a lipoatrophic patient to respond to treatment with leptin, leptin analog or leptin derivative, the improvement comprising means for determining whether the leptin level of the patient prior to treatment is less than or equal to approximately 2 ng/ml if the patient is male or less than or equal to approximately 2 ng/ml if the patient is female, classified in class 530, subclass 388.1 for example.
- B. The inventions are distinct, each from each other because of the following reasons:

Inventions IV and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the antibodies to leptin in a kit can be used in a method of

assaying the level of leptin in a biological sample, but the anti-leptin antibodies can also be used in a method of purifying leptin, which is a materially different method.

Invention IV is unrelated to each of inventions I and II. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the anti-leptin antibodies in a kit are not used in a method of administration of leptin or nucleic acid encoding leptin.

Inventions I and II are related in that they are both methods of treatment; however the method of Group one is treatment with protein while the method of Group II is treatment with nucleic acids, which have different starting materials, method steps and modes of action, and are therefore patently distinct.

Invention III is related to inventions I and II in that invention III is a method to determine if leptin levels are low in a patient, thereby indicating if the methods of treatment of inventions I and II are indicated for the patient. However, the methods of the three inventions require different starting materials, method steps and modes of action, and are therefore patently distinct.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above, have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and/or search requirements, and the search required for each group is not required for the other groups because each group requires a different non-patent literature search due to each group comprising different products and/or method steps, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1646

Species Election

Group I

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: metabolic abnormalities selected from the group consisting of hyperglycemia, dyslipidemia, hyperlipidemia, hypercholesterolemia, hypertriglyceridemia, atherosclerosis, vascular restenosis, insulin resistance, diabetes and hepatomegaly.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-11 and 17-24 are generic.

This application also contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: additional treatment with protease inhibitor, thiazolidinediones, fibrates, statins and metformin.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-21, 23, 24 and 31 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that are elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the

Art Unit: 1646

limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Rejoinder Under Ochiai/Brouwer

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where Applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04.

Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or notice of allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined

Application/Control Number: 10/623,189

Art Unit: 1646

claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues.

See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eileen B. O'Hara, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0878.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 10:00 AM to 6:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brenda Brumback can be reached at (571) 272-0961.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

Application/Control Number: 10/623,189

Art Unit: 1646

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/pair. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll free).

Eileen B. O'Hara, Ph.D.

Patent Examiner

EILEEN B. O'HARA PATENT EXAMINER

Floor B.O'Wara

Page 7